

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
11/20/2000	Apurva M. Desai	A-68701/MAK/LM 1590		
02/23/2006		EXAMINER		
MARCIN, LLP		PEYTON, TAMMARA R		
, SUITE 702 10038		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·		2182	2182	
	02/23/2006 2 MARCIN, LLP , SUITE 702	02/23/2006 2 MARCIN, LLP , SUITE 702	02/23/2006 EXAM  2 MARCIN, LLP PEYTON, TA  3 SUITE 702 10038 ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	09/716,604	DESAI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tammara R. Peyton	2182			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	. the mailing date of this co 35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se	eptember 2005.				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 12-31 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		(		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		- - - - - -	,		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		Stago		
application from the International Bureau		d in this National	Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d			
200 m.s amounted dollars of mod dollars for a list (	a commod copied flot foreive	<b>u.</b>			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTC	)-152)		
	-,				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12, 13, 23-26, and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fry et al., (US 6,415,341).

As per claim 12 and 31, Fry teaches a system method comprising: a register device for conducting a transaction (see figure 4, elements 12 and 14),. a first peripheral device configured to communicate information regarding the transaction according to a first protocol (see figure 4, element 32 communicates with tirst protocol, element 70);

a protocol converter/transaction controller coupled to the register device and the first peripheral device, the protocol converted configured to receive information from the first peripheral device according to the first protocol and communicate the information using TCP/IP (see figure 4, element 30 and column 5, lines 27-31), and

a protocol converter/transaction controller coupled to the protocol converter and the register device, the transaction controller operable to facilitate communication between the register device and the protocol converter (see figure 4, element 30).

Element 30 of figure 4 is a protocol converter/print share device as labeled.

Column 4, lines 29-34 of Fry teaches protocol converter/print share device 30 is operable to convert the print commands output from the POS terminals, prioritize those commands, and send those commands to printer 32. Fry's protocol converter/print share acts as a protocol converter (converts the print commands output from the POS terminals) as well as a transaction controller as it facilitates communication between the register device and protocol converter (the prioritizing the print commands and sending the commands to the printer are transaction controller operations to facilitate communication between the register device and protocol converter).

As per claims 13, 23-26, 29, and 30, please see the rejection in the previous Office Action dated 1/12/05.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fry.

As per claims 14-18, Fry teaches wherein the first peripheral device comprises a printer (see figure 4, element 32). Applicant's numerous definitions of a first peripheral device (claims 14-18) is construed to be an admission that the criticality does not reside in the type of first peripheral device" utilized and hence obvious variants of one another.

As per claims 19-22, Fry teaches wherein the first protocol comprises R5232 (see figure 4, element 70). Applicant's numerous definitions of a first protocol" (claims 19-22) is constructed to be an admission that the criticality does not reside in the type of first peripheral device" utilized and hence obvious variants of one another.

As per claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fry in view of <a href="http://www.sun.com/jini">http://www.sun.com/jini</a>, please see previous rejection.

Applicant argues that the system taught by Fry does not include a transaction controller to facilitate communication between the protocol converter and the register. In previous arguments Examiner took the position that Fry taught a transaction controller (Fig. 4, 30) that incorporates a protocol converter that would enable the system taught by Fry to facilitate communication between the (internal) protocol converter and the register. Examiner is taking the position that the transaction controller and protocol converter are one in the same that perform the claim function. Further,

Art Unit: 2182

Examiner does not understand why Applicant is arguing that the position of the transaction controller/protocol converter is "keeping the system operational when a new device is added," (pg. 8), when the claim leaves open the specific purpose for the transaction controller/protocol converter. However, nowhere the claim does it state that transaction controller/protocol converter is for keeping the system operational when a new device is added, therefore, although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQZd 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammara Peyton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4157. The examiner can normally be reached between 6:30 - 4:00 from Monday to Thursday, (I am off every first Friday), and 6:30-3:00 every second Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Mailed responses to this action should be sent to:

Art Unit: 2182

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231.

Faxes for Official/formal (After Final) communications or for informal or draft communications (please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") sent to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

USTPO, Randolph Building, Customer Service Window

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

Tammara Peyton February 6, 2006 TAMMARA PEYTON
TAMMARA PEYTON
TOWN ARY EXAMINER